

HOUSE OF LORDS
APPOINTMENTS COMMISSION
Room G/38, 1 Horse Guards Road, London SW1A 2HQ
General Enquiries: 07872 828699
Internet: <http://lordsappointments.independent.gov.uk>
E-mail: enquiry@lordsappointments.gov.uk

Ref: HOLAC FOI 2023/14

27 July 2023

By email: [REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED],

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

I am replying to your Freedom of Information request, which the House of Lords Appointments Commission (HOLAC) received on 28 June 2023.

You asked:

Can you please disclose all correspondence between HOLAC and the Office of Boris Johnson between July 2022 and June 2023?

The information that you have requested falls within section 37(1)(b) of the Freedom of Information Act, which relates to the conferral of honours and dignities. A peerage is a dignity for the purposes of the Act. Section 37 is a qualified exemption which is subject to a public interest test. In favour of disclosing information, there is a strong public interest in knowing that the appointments process is accountable and transparent, and in maintaining public confidence in the peerage appointments system. In favour of maintaining the exemption, there is a strong public interest in protecting the confidentiality of the consideration of individual nominees and ensuring the potentially sensitive vetting information can be candidly assessed.

Taking all of the relevant factors into consideration, including the fact that the Commission already places a great deal of information about its working practices in the public domain to reassure the public that these are sufficiently rigorous, I consider that the balance of the public interest lies in maintaining the section 37(1)(b) exemption in respect to the correspondence requested.

This information is also withheld under Section 41(1)(b), information provided in confidence, which allows public authorities to withhold information, the disclosure of which would give rise to an actionable breach of confidence. At the start of the vetting process the Commission informs nominees and other participants in the process that any information provided by them and any information the Commission obtains in the course of its further enquiries of other bodies will be treated as confidential. The information therefore has the necessary quality of confidence and

there is no overriding public interest that would allow it to be disclosed in breach of that confidence. Section 41 is an absolute exemption, therefore there is no requirement to consider whether the public interest in disclosing it outweighs the public interest in maintaining the exemption.

If you are unhappy with this response to your request, you may write to the Secretary to the Commission, Alison Bennett, to ask for an internal review by another person not involved with this request. Please note that we will not normally accept an application for internal review if it is received more than two months after the date that the reply was issued.

If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision.

Generally, the Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted the complaints procedure provided by HOLAC.

The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely,

Secretariat to the House of Lords Appointments Commission